Filed 04/16/2008

Page 1 of 5

Case 3:08-cr-00918-L Document 16

As grounds for this motion, the government states as follows:

I

ARGUMENT

A. DISCLOSURE OF GRAND JURY MATTER

As part of its statutory and Constitutional obligations to provide discovery in this case, the Government may be required to disclose to the defendant grand jury matter which the attorney for the Government is otherwise prohibited from disclosing under Rule 6(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. For purposes of this motion, "grand jury matter" refers to (1) transcripts of testimony before the grand jury, and (2) documents or objects marked as grand jury exhibits.

To the extent that the attorney for the Government intends to disclose grand jury matter, and to the extent that prior court authorization is needed to comply with both the Government's discovery obligations and with Rule 6(e), the Government hereby moves for authorization to disclose such grand jury matter, together with a protective order as described below. Nothing in this motion or in the court's authorization should be construed as enlarging the Government's discovery obligations or creating any right to material not otherwise discoverable, as determined by the Government or as directed by the court.

B. PROTECTIVE ORDER

This court has the power to issue "protective and modifying orders" regulating discovery. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(d)(1). Specifically, "[a]t any time the court may, for good cause, deny, restrict, or defer discovery or inspection, or grant other appropriate relief. Id. See Alderman v. United States, 394 U.S. 165, 185 (1969) ("the trial court can and should, where appropriate, place a defendant and his counsel under enforceable orders against unwarranted disclosure of the materials which they may be entitled to inspect").

Here, the disclosure of grand jury matter should be limited to only those individuals who are personally and directly involved in preparing the defense and trial of this criminal case and for no other purpose. Consequently, the Government moves for a protective order to safeguard the grand jury matter from disclosure to persons outside of each defense team. For purposes of this motion, the "defense team" refers to the defendant; the defendant's counsel of record, including

members, associates, paralegals and office staff of counsel of record's law firm; and investigators, experts and consultants hired by counsel of record to assist in the defense of this criminal case.

The protective order should:

- 1. Prohibit the members of the defense team from distributing, disseminating, disclosing or exhibiting grand jury matter to any person who is not part of that defense team and for any purpose other than preparing a defense of this case;
- 2. Require each counsel of record to ensure that every member of the defense team is advised of the protective order and has agreed to be bound by its terms;
- 3. Require that if, in the course of preparing the defense in this case, counsel of record or any member of the defense team needs to disclose grand jury matter to any person outside of the defense team (such as to a third-party witness), counsel of record must obtain prior written authorization from the court, with such authorization to require that any such person to whom grand jury matter is disclosed agree to be bound by the terms of this protective order;
- 4. Require that if disclosure of grand jury matter to a person outside the defense team is authorized by the court, that the grand jury matter be only shown to, and not left with, such person, and that the grand jury matter must remain in the custody and control of the defense team; and
- 5. Require that at the conclusion of the proceedings in this case, counsel of record shall return to the Government, upon request, any grand jury matter disclosed.

3

20 // 21 // 22 // 23 // 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 //

//

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

28

08cr0918-L

	Case 3:08-cr-00918-L Document 16 Filed 04/16/2008 Page 4 of 5		
1	II		
2	CONCLUSION		
3	For the foregoing reasons, the United States respectfully requests that the court issue the		
4	attached order authorizing the attorney for the Government to disclose grand jury matter to the		
5	defendant or his counsel of record and prohibiting each defendant's defense team from disclosing		
6	such grand jury matter except as otherwise permitted in the order.		
7	DATED: April 16, 2008		
8	Respectfully submitted,		
9	KAREN P. HEWITT United States Attorney		
10	Omica states rittoriley		
11	/s/ Charles A. O'Reilly Charles A. O'Reilly Special Assistant United States Attorney		
12	Special Assistant United States Attorney		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
25			
25 26			
27			
28			
-0	4 08cr0018 I		

	Case 3:08-cr-00918-L	Filed 04/16/2008 Page 5 of 5	
1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. <u>08CR0918-L</u>	
7	Plaintiff,) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
8	V.		
9	FE S. GARRETT,		
10	Defendant.		
11		_ /	
12	IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT:		
13	I, Charles A. O'Reilly, am a citizen of the United States and am at least eighteen years of		
14	age. My business address is 880 Front Street, Room 6293, San Diego, California 92101-8893.		
15	I am not a party to the above-entitled action. I have caused service of GOVERNMENT'S		
16	EX PARTE MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO DISCLOSE GRAND JURY MATTER AND		
17	FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER on the following party by electronically filing the foregoing with		
18	the Clerk of the District Court using its ECF System, which electronically notifies them.		
19	Erica Kristine Zunkel Federal Defenders of San Diego		
20	225 Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101		
21	Erica Zunkel@fd.org		
22	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.		
23	DATED: April 16, 2008		
24	/s/ Charles A. O'Reilly Charles A. O'Reilly		
25	;	Special Assistant United States Attorney	
26			
27			
28			
		08cr0918-L	